# **DANUBE RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT PLAN** UPDATE 2021



**DRBD Surface Water Typology** 





## Typology of the Danube River

The typology of the Danube River has been developed in a joint activity by the countries sharing the Danube River for the first DBA in 2004. The Danube typology therefore constitutes a harmonised system used by all these countries. The Danube typology was based on a combination of abiotic factors of System A and System B. The most important factors are ecoregion, mean water slope, substratum composition, geomorphology and water temperature.



#### Figure 1: Danube section types; the dividing lines refer only to the Danube River itself.

Section Type	Name of the Section Type	from - to
1	Upper course of the Danube	rkm 2786: confluence of Brigach and Breg – rkm 2581: Neu Ulm
2	Western Alpine Foothills Danube	rkm 2581: Neu Ulm – rkm 2225: Passau
3	Eastern Alpine Foothills Danube	rkm 2225: Passau – rkm 2001: Krems
4	Lower Alpine Foothills Danube	rkm 2001: Krems – rkm 1790: Gönyű/Kližská Nemá
5	Hungarian Danube Bend	rkm 1790: Gönyű/ Kližská Nemá – rkm 1497: Baja
6	Pannonian Plain Danube	rkm 1497: Baja – rkm 1075 : Bazias
7	Iron Gate (Cazane) Danube	rkm 1075: Bazias – rkm 943: Turnu Severin
8	Western Pontic (Cazane-Calarasi) Danube	rkm 943: Turnu Severin – rkm 375.5: Chiciu/Silistra
9	Eastern Wallachian (Calarasi- Isaccea) Danube	rkm 375.5: Chiciu/Silistra – rkm 100: Isaccea
10	Danube Delta*	rkm 100: Isaccea – rkm 0 on Chilia arm, rkm 0 on Sulina arm and rkm 0 on Sf. Gheorghe arm

#### Table 1: Danube section types

Ten Danube section types were identified (see Figure 1 and Table 1). The morphological and habitat characteristics are outlined for each section type. In order to ensure that the Danube section types are biologically meaningful, these were validated with biological data collected during the first Joint Danube Survey in 2001.

## Typology of the tributaries in the Danube River Basin District

The typologies of the Danube tributaries were developed by the countries individually. Stream types relevant on transboundary water courses were bilaterally harmonised with the neighbours.

Most countries in the DRB (Germany, Austria, Czech Republic, Hungary, Slovenia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia, Croatia, Romania, Bulgaria) have applied System B (Annex II, 1.2.1 WFD) for establishing their river typology. Only Slovakia and Ukraine have used System A. Countries using System B have used a number of optional factors to further describe the river types. River discharge, mean substratum composition and mean water slope are most frequently used.

Table 2 gives an overview of the class boundaries used by the DRB countries for the common descriptors: altitude, catchment area and geology.

Descriptor	Country	Class boundaries											
-	Germany	0-200 m 200-800m > 800 m											
	Austria	0-200 m		200-500 m 5		500	00-800 m		800-1600 m			>1600 m	
	Czech Republic	0-200 m		200-500 m			500-800 m				> 80	0 m	
	Slovakia	0-200 m		200-500 m			500-800 m				> 80	0 m	
	Hungary <sup>1</sup>			slope	categori	es were u	sed in riv	er typolo	gy				
	Croatia	0-200 m		200	- 500 m				> 50	0 m			
Altitude	Slovenia			no altit	ude clas	ses were	used in ri	ver typol	ogy				
	Serbia	0-200 m		200-500 m					> 500 m				
	Romania	0-200 m		200-500 m			> 500 r			m			
	Bulgaria	0-200 m			20	0-800 m				> 8	300 m		
	Bosnia and Herzegovina	< 200 m		200-500 m 5		50	500-800 m			> 8	300 m		
	Republic of Moldova	0-200 m	0-200 m			200-800m				> 8	300 m		
	Montenegro												
	Ukraine	< 200 m			20	0-500 m					500-800 m		
	Germany	10-100 km²		100-	1000 km²			1000-10	),000	km²		> 10,000 km²	
	Austria	10-100 km²	100-5	00 km²	500-1	1000 km² 10		1000-250	1000-2500 km²		2500- 10,000 km <sup>2</sup>		
	Czech Republic	Not applied anymore											
	Slovakia <sup>2</sup>			100 - 1 000 km²			n²	$1000 - 10000 \ km^2$					
	Hungary	10-100 km²	100-100 km <sup>2</sup>		1000-10,000 km²		10,000-100,000 km²		m²	> 100,000 km <sup>2</sup>			
	Croatia	10-100 km <sup>2</sup>			100-1000 km <sup>2</sup>			1000-10,0		000 km²		> 10,000 km²	
Catchment	Slovenia	<10 km <sup>2</sup> 10	km <sup>2</sup> 10-100 km <sup>2</sup>		100-1000 km <sup>2</sup>		1000-10,000 km <sup>2</sup>		) km²		> 10	,000 km²	
area	Serbia	10-100 km <sup>2</sup>		100-	100-1000 km²		1000-4000 km²		4	4000-10,000 km <sup>2</sup> > 10,0 km		$1^{2}$ $\begin{array}{c} > \\ 10,000 \\ km^{2} \end{array}$	
	Romania	10-100 km <sup>2</sup>		100-	100-1000 km <sup>2</sup>		1000-10,000 km <sup>2</sup>			> 10,000 km <sup>2</sup>			
	Bulgaria	10-100 km <sup>2</sup>			00-1300 km <sup>2</sup>			1300-10,000 km²			> 10,000 km <sup>2</sup>		
	Bosnia and Herzegovina	<100 km²	<100 km <sup>2</sup>		100-1000 km <sup>2</sup>		1000-4000 km² 10		10,	$\begin{array}{c} 4000-\\ 0,000 \ \mathrm{km^2} \end{array} > 10,000 \ \mathrm{km^2} \end{array}$		> 10,000 km²	
	Republic of Moldova	10-100 km²	10-100 km² 1			00-1000 km²			1000-10,000 km <sup>2</sup> > 10,000 km <sup>2</sup>				
	Montenegro												
	Ukraine	10-100 km <sup>2</sup>	10-100 km²		100-1000 km <sup>2</sup>		1000-10,000 km²			> 10,000 km <sup>2</sup>			
	Germany	siliceous		cal	calcareous				organic				
	Austria	crystalline	crystalline tertiary			and quaternary sediments flysch			th and helveticum limestone and dolomite				
Geology	Czech Republic	crystalline and vulcanites sandstones, mudstones and quaternary								ternary			
	Slovakia	miz					ed						
	Hungary	siliceous			calcareous								
	Croatia	siliceous			calcareous organic					mixed			
	Slovenia	siliceous			calcareous					flysch <sup>3</sup>			
	Serbia	siliceous			calcareous					organic			
	Romania	siliceous			calcareous					organic			
	Bulgaria	siliceou	s		calcareous				mixed				
	Bosnia and Herzegovina	siliceous			calcareous				organic				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> River type-classification of waterbodies based on the slope category more powerful then altitude based on biological validation results (slope categories:  $<0,15 \ \%, 0,15 \ \%, -2,5\%$ ; real altitude categories are rather 0-150m, 150-350m,  $>350 \ m$  and used as background-information).

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  The river typology is not based on strict boundaries of catchment area. Rivers > 1,000 km<sup>2</sup> make up individual types; definition of types for smaller rivers is based on ecoregion, altitude and geology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> not for the tributaries in the Danube river basin district

Republic of Moldova	siliceous	calcareous	organic	
Montenegro				
Ukraine	siliceous	calcareous	organic	

## Lakes

Types for four lakes were reported at the DRB overview level: Neusiedler/Fertö-to (Austria/Hungary), Balaton (Hungary), Ialpug (Ukraine) and Razim/Razelm (Romania). Information is provided in Table 3.

#### Table 3: Lakes selected for the basin-wide overview and their types

Lakes > 100 km <sup>2</sup>	Country(s)	Type of lake	Ecoregion	Altitude class	Depth class	Size class	Geology
Neusiedler See / Fertő-tó	AT, HU	lowland, large shallow, saline lake	2	lowland: < 200 m	< 3 m	> 100 km²	saline
Lake Balaton	HU	lowland, very large, mid deep, calcareous lake	1	lowland: < 200 m	3-15 m	> 100 km²	calcareous
Ozero Ialpug	UA	n.a.	12	n.a.	n.a.	> 100 km²	n.a.
Lacul Razim / Razelm	RO	lowland, very shallow, calcareous, very large lake type	12	lowland: < 200 m	< 3 m	> 100 km²	calcareous

## Transitional and coastal waters

The transitional and coastal waters of the DRB are located in Romania and Ukraine. For the development of the typology of transitional and coastal waters System B was applied. The transitional waters are differentiated into lacustrine and marine transitional waters (Table 4).

## Table 4: Types of transitional waters in the DRBD

Transitional water	Туре
Lake Sinoe	Transitional lacustrine type
Black Sea coastal waters (northern sector) – Chilia mouth to Periboina	Transitional marine type

Two coastal water types have been defined for the coastal waters in the DRBD (Table 5).

Table 5: Types of coastal waters in the Danube River Basin District

Coastal water	Туре				
Periboina – Singol Cape	Sandy shallow coastal water				
Singol Cape – Vama veche	Mixed shallow coastal water				